

From: Larry Rohlfes
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2004 6:20 PM
To: Dabbs, Paul
Cc: Nick Di Croce
Subject: Comment on Nick Di Croce's Suggestions

Nick,

The meaning of your suggested addition to Key Finding 4 isn't really clear to me, particularly the last phrase: "and are shown as actions in the Guide." After all, surface storage is an action in the Guide as well.

I believe the addition of this sentence still has the effect of implying a priority. As such, it seems to me to be at odds with the overall approach of the document and with Key Finding 4b, which says that "planners can select from their strategies according to their needs and water management objectives."

Nick, I too wish we could do more to set priorities, and I wonder if the document will be criticized for not doing enough of that. But I feel this sentence does that in a kind of backhanded, fuzzy way. I also think it oversimplifies things a bit. For example, there are different types of water supplies.

If we are going to set priorities, we should be up front and systematic about it.

LARRY

--

LARRY ROHLFES, CAE
Assistant Executive Director
California Landscape Contractors Association